**Project 2 – Final Program Proposal**

**1) Synopsis**

This document serves as an initial proposal for organizing all the operations our team performed to predict cilia regions based on frames given. Being a proposal, this document only serves as an initial idea for final organization, which should address the intrinsic goals for software: clear usage, reasonable options, examples of use, and the ability to generate the predictions we made. By formalizing what such goals entail in this circumstance should lead us toward clear, concise code for sharing.

**2) Outline**

From reading through the GitHub history of our team, I see many different files and their history. Together, there were two main branches for processing Optical Flow and Tiramisu. Both of these approaches underwent variations in their use: ranging from fluctuation in parameters used to different input/output format (from blurring results to changing CNN input size). In addition, there were a range of image processing options tested. The two sets together (image processing and cilia predations) could serve as the basis for what we provide users:
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The image above is a very brute illustration of a user’s flow through our software. My idea is to have a range of image processing options packaged together, everything from just downloading the images supplied by Quinn to apply any (to all) image format techniques we have tested with. In the general readme file, we will give examples for what parameters we used with these techniques along with clear command line executions that would generate the same results. These image processing options appear to be sequential in nature, meaning we would need to formalize the order by which we have applied them so users won’t be surprised (thereby allowing them to consider reordering along with further options before moving to the prediction process.

Once the images have gone through the download and/or formatting operations and users have directories containing frames and masks for the training and testing sets, we provide users with options for executing the different prediction operations we used. As opposed to the image processing, selection between Optical Flow and Tiramisu are disjoint, but a number of the different Tiramisu options tested should be given to the user as an option.

I (Jonathan Myers) believe these are reasonable goals that will make software that looks simple and is simple to use, but that is one perspective. Please take a moment before going into the following planning sections to determine if this feel right for you or if you have another organization idea that would be more appropriate.

**3) Python Scripts**

As discussed in the outline section, our project will supply three main programs: one for downloading and formatting files, one for Optical Flow, and one for Tiramisu. Hopefully, by providing examples of how to use these programs, users won’t have difficulty using them.

\*\*\* ONE SIDE NOTE: The plan for this project is to build software that will run on OS X or Linux. Not going to worry about Windows \*\*\*

**3.1) Download/Format Images**

The main function for this python script (with name say DownloadFormatImageData.py, feel free to throw out another name) provides the following options (NOTE: The image changes are applied in the order listed below, we could discuss allowing for order change later…):

1. –o <output directory>: This is a required field used to specify the directory that will store all processed files. This process won’t execute if there are any files already there; if the user select “-over <output directory>” then the script will delete everything already there before placing new files there.
2. –s <source directory> **OR** –g <git source address>: The user must select either of these options. If they already have frame and mask data in subdirectories of the source directory they define with –s, the script will check to make sure files agree with expectations and then copy them to the output directory for later processing. If the –g option was selected, the program will try to download all files (following the preconceived file organization expectations) and place them into the output directory. In general, the format expects the following (and we will show this to users):

<root dir> + - test.txt

+ - train.txt

+ - masks + - <name>.png

| ...

+ - data + <name> + frame0000.png

| + frame0001.png

| ...

+ <name> + frame0001.png

...

1. -clahe (opt. –clipLimit <clip limit> -tileGridSize <n> <m>): Just selecting -clahe will aplly the CLAHE (Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization) to the image with default settings 2 and (8,8). The user may override these default settings by using the optional parameters, but if they use those parameters without the option -clahe, the program will give them a warning. (<https://docs.opencv.org/3.1.0/d5/daf/tutorial_py_histogram_equalization.html>)
2. –bf (opt. –bf\_d <diameter of pixel neighborhood> -bf\_sc <width of color neighborhood> -bf\_ss <factor by which distance influences>): Selection of –bf alone comes with default settings 7, 30, and 30 to the optional parameters listed. User may specify these values, and the code will check for integer and double values.
3. ??? I’m not sure how/where the most recent image modification options were implemented but they look great. We could add in that processing option here!

Once the program checks all of the command line variables, checks to make sure all expected files are accounted for, and places the files in a target directory, it will process the files using any methods and parameters defined by the user. Once this process completes, without any errors, it will notify the user everything is ready for the next process (and it may show them a reminder of how to execute the next process.)

**3.2) Predict Cilia with Process Options**

Now we move into the main processing area of our software. Here the user specifies which of the two main branches they would like to use: Optical Flow or Tiramisu. For simplicity, I believe that having two programs (say one called RunOpticalFlow.py and one called RunTiramisu.py) would make things easy for the user to know what they chose and makes it easier for us to parse their parameters. Following this idea (and let me know if that feels wrong), we would have two main Python scripts here that parse different parameters.

**3.2.1) RunOpticalFlow.py**

The Optical Flow approach would, to my (Jonathan Myers) understanding, support the following options: